

**In the Alberta Court of Queen's Bench**

**Between**

**Regina**

**vs.**

**Reni Sentana-Ries**

---

**LETTER TO THE COURT  
ON THE OCCASION OF THE SENTENCING HEARING  
APRIL 5<sup>TH</sup>, 2006**

---

**Written by Reni Sentana-Ries to Judge Clarke**

Box 879, Two Hills, Alberta

Cell 940-3885

Ph. (780)657-2609

**Dear Judge Clarke,**

**Today is Sunday, March 12, 2006. The Crown's submission to you may not be ready yet, and therefore I write this letter addressed to you without the benefit of the prosecution's requests for sentencing.**

**Since the Jury verdict I have had no contact with Mr. Bilodeau, which is indicative of an absence of a usual discussion between prosecution and the defense in arriving at what otherwise could become a statement of consensus between myself and the prosecution concerning the sentencing. Mr. Bilodeau seems to prefer a lawyer to speak to, and if he cannot do that he communicates not at all.**

**Commenting on the jury's conviction I hardly know where to begin. It seems the "shock-and-awe" strategy by the prosecution along with the flashy display containing my remarks on the Jewish people**

- and the absence of any defense witnesses of mine, whose presence have been denied by the court**
- and having not been permitted to place knowledge of interlocutory court rulings before the jurors**
- and not being allowed to show and comment on the photos to the jurors**
- and having the credibility of my supporting documents as evidence to the truthfulness of my statements concerning questionable activity by certain Jews functioning as operators of the fifth-column power in a state and the courts put in doubt before the jury**
- and the jurors ignoring your instructions and denying me the benefit of doubt concerning the evidence I gave**
- and having been given no opportunity to cross examine any Crown witnesses as the Crown did not call upon any to appear, possibly in order to avoid any embarrassments before the jurors**
- and seeing the jurors overwhelmed with three volumes of articles spanning the work of 6 years on the net to review at home in one evening, where they are faced with distractions commonly found in home life,**

- all these strategies paid off for the prosecution to score another victory against a citizen by means of a process which had the element of fairness stripped away from it.

My observation throughout the many hearings leading up to the trial is that Mr. Bilodeau seems to have a mysterious power over judges in that he always has it his way regardless of the issue raised before the court which I deem legitimate and reasonable.

- He succeeded to get a judge's approval for maintaining an unfair bail condition which disallowed me access to internet for browsing in my own defense. President Milosovic in de Haag as well as Mr. Zequawy, the only one being tried for the downing of the two towers in New York, had access to internet use for their own defense, so why not I? The Crown's gripe was not with my browsing of the net, but with operating a website, which I would not have continued as a bail condition. The moment I am not allowed to act in my defense to the fullest extent possible the principles of fundamental justice are violated and the administration of justice is put in disrepute. Why is the Crown not interested in the administration of justice but only in a conviction regardless of whether justice is being served? And why do the judges go along with a prosecutor's unreasonable demands to lend injustice a helping hand?
- He succeeded in having a judge set aside the 90 day limitation by law for the laying of charges against me. Some cases are thrown out of court on flawed technicalities. Why not this one? If the law states 90 days why then are 365 days also the law just because a judge says so? Are judges not sworn to uphold the law and not make up their own when under pressure from the prosecution?
- He succeeded when I discovered that the charges against me were of insufficient authority and contrary to the spirit of the law which calls for the accountable-to-the-people and elected representative of the people Attorney General's approval. Are Mr. Bilodeau and Mr. Hagglund from Calgary judicial supermen who can never lose a fight before any court regardless of the weakness of their case, or are they literal examples of the judicial bias prevailing in Canada's court system Mr. Pettigrew was talking about on CBC Feb. 7<sup>th</sup>, 2005 in the 11:00 am newscast, whose testimony was also not allowed in court as my subpoena of this man was refused? If Mr. Bilodeau is Jewish, then I understand why he never loses an argument and gets away with breaking every court rule in the book, and once again the point of my case is made right under your watchful eyes.
- Why does Mr. Bilodeau seem to have inroads to the transcript department when I order a transcript containing damaging information to his cause, in

**which a political discussion between himself and the judge were omitted? Who ordered the omission of text from the transcript, and why was the lady expected to certify its accuracy when she knew it was not complete?**

- Who leaked information concerning my libel charges on Mr. Bilodeau back to him, who then used this tip to hijack a process hearing using a Calgary prosecutor for the purpose of obstruction of justice and interference with the due process of law?**
- And finally why was my appeal to your decision concerning the constitutionality of this damned law not allowed to be heard by the Alberta Court of Appeal after you yourself informed me that an appeal to your decision can be made? If the Criminal Code makes no mention of the possibility of an appeal to an interlocutory decision, then it stands to reason that it is also possible, and therefore not in violation with any court rules or the law itself. Fundamental justice in this case would have been served had the trial been deferred until after my appeal to the validity of this law had been exhausted all the way to the Supreme Court. That would have been the proper order of events. Now I am faced with a conviction under a law to which the Supreme Court has not had the opportunity to hear my arguments against it, which, contrary to your own statement, are different in various aspects and indeed present new information on the subject of “hate” and the law.**

**This case should have never come to see the day of a trial. The events during the many hearings leading up to it speak volumes of how court rules are bent, the law ignored, transcripts falsified, process hearings hijacked, and legal arguments coming not from a lawyer but a citizen overruled or ignored. I was tried in a court where the primary objective by all parties involved was my conviction, which rendered all other considerations of guilt or innocence, justice, fairness, the law, or court rules secondary.**

**Not even the police force investigating my case was careful enough to conduct themselves above reproach by assuring that any possible accusation of bias by the hate crimes department be proven without foundation. Judge Clarke, they are accepting financial donations from private interest groups and thereby tarnishing their dutiful reputation as a public force which functions equally for all and not on behalf of the narrow interests of a group that gives them money.**

**If I wanted to be fair and true to myself I would be obliged to lay criminal charges against Mr. Bilodeau for obstruction of justice and interference with the due process of law, and Mr. Hagglund for being an accessory to those offences. Mr. Bilodeau tries to destroy my reputation, so why should I be concerned of his own? Do not take it as weakness if I don't make an attempt to take these two men on before a criminal court with roles reversed this time, but what guarantee do I have that these**

**two men will not hijack the system a second time around to save their bacon from the fire, as all view each other as a brotherhood for the protection of each other's backs. I have come to bring to the world an economic alternative to the financial/economic tyranny which is preventing the restoration of paradise for all peoples, and am not here to spend all of my spare energies fighting for justice in courts of law.**

**My message is so damaging to the globally established order that no injustice is being spared to silence my voice. And what could be more effective in undermining my credibility than for the controlled media to write: "Mr. Sentana-Ries is a convicted criminal and hater. He hates the Jews and therefore is a bigot. A jury found him guilty and so we conclude he is guilty." And in consequence of this propaganda against my person the people will never know how close they came to re-discover their long lost paradise, which only I (with the exception of my wife) have carefully placed before them in articles and books.**

**The claims concerning my identity may on the surface sound presumptuous. However one day down the road when the wrath of the "goyim" persecutes Jewish people for a conspiracy their elite conducts against their nations, they, the Jews, may just find out the power I hold to stop their annihilation. But I must be alive to stop a future pogrom, lest the uncontrolled rage of the "goyim" wipes the Jews off the face of this earth.**

**Do these statements make me an enemy of the Jews? Not at all. While they may secretly claim to have Lucifer for their God, I can see far beyond their present stage of mind evolution and know of what they eons from now will become in order to be re-introduced into the community of evolved, peaceful, galactic and intergalactic societies. And that is something the offended parties in their possible efforts of destroying the Jews may in their rage not necessarily see. I do not live by a philosophy which calls for killing to solve a problem, but am also not naïve enough to think that those who aim for their brother's destruction should escape the fate they plan for him.**

**The guilty verdict by the jury gives you a bit of a predicament to find a balance between Mr. Bilodeau's demands, the probation officer's report, the psychiatrist, my witnesses, my own testimony, and the law under which I have been convicted, which I call an ass.**

**Did I break Universal Law? No.**

**Did I break the common Law of Ethics? No.**

**Did I break Criminal Law as it stood prior to 1981? No.**

**Did I break a Libel Law? No.**

**Did I break any laws governing racial profiling? No, for they do not exist.**

**Maybe I did racially profile the Jews based on their conduct and based their own writings, of which I have not mentioned the Talmud. Did I cast "hate" on them for giving some (Jews) a fair evaluation based on the universal standard of ethics?**

**Maybe, but hate should have never become the issue, for only an indictable offence can be an adequate response to information. But where there is no reaction in the form of an indictable offence, then how can there be a certainty that “hate” has even been generated? Ironically, not one Jew complained about my site until Mr. Huggins laid charges, himself being a black man and not a Jew, and according to his own testimony his department is accepting donations from private interest groups, thereby putting the integrity of the Edmonton Police Force in doubt and tarnishing their reputation as an unbiased police force in the service to all.**

**So if no Jew could be found to dispute my writings, why then had the whole case be pulled off behind the back of the Attorney General, Mr. Hancock, by unelected and unaccountable deputies, whose only goal it was to please the B’nai Brith? Even the spirit of this idiotic law was broken, when the elected and accountable-to-the-public boss of the department was left out of the decision-making process concerning the laying of charges against me. Were the deputies afraid they could not have achieved Mr. Hancock’s approval for the laying of charges after his consultation with me?**

**So the stunt was pulled off behind his back, and when he got wind of it he must have agreed to keep the issue quiet so his own department be spared public embarrassment, and all this at my expense! I seem to have become expendable, but not the reputation of Mr. Hancock’s department! I am not impressed with this ugly performance by a system which calls itself “justice”. And the evidence brought forth by the prosecution concerning delegation of power had nothing to do with any delegated authority in a case of Mr. Hancock’s absence from the office!**

**Judge Clarke, I still have not firmly made up my mind whether I should appeal this conviction in a higher court. I like to put this issue behind me and get on with my life. I think I will appeal if your sentencing calls for mandatory imprisonment, otherwise I will just let the fallout from entrapment in this insane law become a thing of my past and get on with who I am to the people.**

**Do I want to have my access to internet back? You bet. Will I have my own website? Yes, that is my right. Will I then talk about the Jewish conspiracy or mention Jewish philosophy? No. I will however deal with issues without distinction to any race. Jews are people, Canadians are people, Germans are people, and international bankers are people. That is how I intend to dance around the offending issue of blatant Jewish conspiracy when I find it. I will also continue to talk about the abolition of the money system in order that paradise become restored to the people, and the people become properly prepared for global evacuation before the catastrophes happen which signify the end of this world.**

**Last night on “Coast to Coast” I listened to eye witnesses reporting of an intergalactic craft having been witnessed by many near Phoenix, Arizona, the craft itself being 4 to 5 miles wide and long, silently gliding across the night sky, at roughly 2500 ft height to the underside of the craft, and the car below taking several minutes at 80 miles per hour to get from one edge of the craft to the other. Why do I**

bring this up in my letter to you? Speaking about evacuation I have stated as follows in one of my articles to the people: “There is enough room in our brotherhood’s ships for the evacuation of 6 ½ billion people off the face of this planet.” And where there is one intergalactic craft of this size, there can also be many.

On January 27, 2006, a judge asked me to get a lawyer for April 5<sup>th</sup>. My financial situation has not improved to be able to afford one. I also fail to see what difference it makes. If the prosecution calls for a mandatory prison sentence and you yield to this pressure, then my life is destroyed, for I am the only bread winner for my wife, a dog, a cat, a parrot, four Llamas and a miniature donkey. I earn between \$1600.00 to \$1800.00 per month gross income, from which \$600.00 per month goes up in fuel costs alone commuting between Two Hills and doing Edmonton deliveries on a daily basis. That leaves me with little money to pay all the other expenses connected with being alive, and my exhaustion at the end the day leaves me with no time to enjoy much of anything. I am tired, my health condition is sometimes critical from high blood pressure, and I find this whole thing extremely irritating.

Contrary to what the court documents say, Cosman is not the complainant, but Constable Huggins is. He opened the Pandora’s box of charges against me. According to Police procedure Mr. Huggins did not even have the right to investigate until after a member of the public has filed a complaint. So Huggins investigates anyway without official authority. Cosman later becomes a stand-in for Huggins to save Huggin’s butt, and gives the whole crooked matter the appearance of legitimacy. Then Cosman as official accuser becomes a coward who never dared to show his face once in any of the proceedings consequential to his rigged complaint. So in the end I ended up in court without him for an accuser! In court I have the right to face my accuser, but was denied that right, and in the end Cosman’s absence helped to get me convicted without my opportunity to cross examine him before judge and jury!

Attached to this letter you will find a copy of an IQ test I took in 2004. (Exhibit One) The test result explains why I was able to write a book of intricate understanding as a gift to international bankers called “The Epitaph of Finance”.

Out of respect for you I promise to abide by the conditions of your ruling. But I deem the guilty verdict to be cooked by the prosecution, and therein I see a real manifestations of what hate truly is.

Thank you for being patient to read my long letter.

Yours truly,

.....  
Reni Sentana-Ries

**Note:**

**Today is Wednesday, March 15, 2006. In the meantime I received a copy from Mr. Bilodeau's office announcing his intent to call on Mr. Bernie Farber from the Canadian Jewish Congress as "expert witness".**

**I fail to see what the purpose of such a man is to give testimony on for the occasion of my sentencing hearing other than to intimidate you into yielding to the prosecution's demands for mandatory incarceration. Mr. Farber should have been called upon as witness by the Crown during the trial or at the preliminary hearing. Then I would have had the chance to cross examine him.**

**His own biography, to which he has not even put his signature, unmasks him as Canada's most powerful Jewish conspirator, controlling all boards of education, all radio and TV stations, all police colleges, all newspapers, universities, the Ottawa government, and court rulings. Instead of being permitted to defile the sanctity of court rooms, he should become arrested and charged with conspiracy which undermines the independence of the legislative, judicial and executive authorities in Canada. In his lectures he messes with the original meanings of the English language where the word "information" now means "hate", punishable by law, the findings of investigative journalism he hates with a passion, and today we see him implementing the literal fulfillment of protocols which his forefathers wrote some 120 years ago, saying**

**(quote)**

*"For us there are no checks to limit the range of our activity. Our Super-Government subsists in extra-legal conditions which are described in the accepted terminology by the energetic and forcible word - Dictatorship. I am in a position to tell you with a clear conscience that at the proper time we, the law-givers, shall execute judgment and sentence, we shall slay and we shall spare, we, as head of all our troops, are mounted on the steed of the leader....."*

*..... We have got our hands into the administration of the law, into the conduct of elections, into the press, into liberty of the person, BUT PRINCIPALLY INTO EDUCATION AND TRAINING AS BEING THE CORNER-STONES OF A FREE EXISTENCE....*

*...The system of bridling thought is already at work in the so-called system of teaching by OBJECT LESSONS, the purpose of which is to turn the goyim into unthinking submissive brutes waiting for things to be presented before their eyes in order to form an idea of them....*

**(end quote)**

**What justice was served by denying me my witnesses while the prosecution can call on any witness it likes even after the trial is over? I do not approve of this man being present at my sentencing hearing, and I wish to see him barred from the court room should he shows up.**

### **Exhibit One**

Congratulations, Reinhard!  
Your IQ score is 127

This number is the result of a formula based on how many questions you answered correctly on Tickle's Classic IQ test. Your IQ score is scientifically accurate; to read more about the science behind our IQ test, [click here](#).

During the test, you answered four different types of questions — mathematical, visual-spatial, linguistic and logical. We analyzed how you did on each of those questions which reveals how *your* brain uniquely works.

We also compared your answers with others who have taken the test, and according to the sorts of questions you got correct, we can tell your Intellectual Type is **Visual Mathematician**.

This means you are gifted at spotting patterns — both in pictures and in numbers. These talents combined with your overall high intelligence make you good at understanding the big picture, which is why people trust your instincts and turn to you for direction — especially in the workplace. And that's just some of what we know about you from your test results.

**In the Alberta Court of Queen's Bench**

**Between**

**Regina**

**vs.**

**Reni Sentana-Ries**

---

**LETTER TO THE COURT  
ON THE OCCASION OF THE SENTENCING HEARING  
APRIL 5<sup>TH</sup>, 2006**

---

**Written by Reni Sentana-Ries to Judge Clarke**

Box 879, Two Hills, Alberta

Cell 940-3885

Ph. (780)657-2609