

Sunday, May 15, 2005

From: **Reni Sentana-Ries**
11826-83 Str. Apt. 103
Edmonton, Alberta
T5B 2Z3

to

Mr. Steven Bilodeau, Crown Prosecutor
Suite 516, J.E. Brownlee Building, 10365-97th Str., Edmonton, Alberta, T5J 3W7

Re: **Regina v. Reni Sentana-Ries**
Criminal Code 319(2)
Next Trial Hearing: August 30, 2005, at 9:00 am in Courtroom #268
Next pre-trial conference in Court of Queen's Bench at 2:00 pm May 24, 2005

Dear Mr. Bilodeau,

With this letter I am responding to your issues as outlined in your letter from May 9, 2005.

Please take note of the fact that I have taken no part of your letter as legal advice, and accordingly **all I am stating herewith is of my own accord.**

The circumstance of myself being without legal representation throughout these proceedings is for financial reasons. Legal Aid is **not** free of charge I found out when applying, and so I dismissed that option. Were legal representation mandatory, then it would have to be Government-provided and free of charge. If that cannot be an option, then I must rely on my own wits and on judges who know the rules and guide me through the process to assure that I am not being walked over by legal maneuvering.

My election is to be tried by judge and jury.

Concerning the "Elements of the Offence of Willfully Promoting Hatred" (sic) under 319(2) I admit to the issues under items...

1. **Date.** The word "alleged" is missing before "offence".
2. **Jurisdiction** - I admit to no offence in *any* area. But since you wish to pursue the notion that the alleged offence happened in the Edmonton, Alberta, region, I agree with you to take that position.

3. **Identity of the Accused.** Again you forgot to insert the word “alleged” before offence in regard to my right of presumption of innocence until proven guilty in a court of justice. You said in your letter that “*I will do my utmost to ensure that you are treated with fairness throughout these proceedings...*” however you seem to have difficulty with the principle of an accused’s right to presumption of innocence. Yes, I am the person who has made a few critical statements of Jewish/Zionist misconduct for which you wish to see me convicted in a court of law. But I am the Grande World Councilor whose position and authority you do not recognize, and in *my* Office no “identifiable group” is above the law of ethics which I represent. I am Reni Sentana-Ries, my ethnicity is immaterial (although the fullness of the attempt of the prosecution is to take a Canadian citizen who just happens to have German DNA and crucify him on the cross of the Crown prosecutor’s own making), and I am the spokesman on earth for the commanders of the Federation of Free Planets. These commanders have an agenda, and their agenda is to restore paradise to the peoples of this planet. That agenda I represent. My frankness in telling the world on offices I hold in higher realms must not be interpreted as presumptuousness or a lack of humility. Doctors of medicine display their titles in front of their names as a reminder to all that by their efforts they have earned that right and are officially recognized and qualified to labour for the well-being of their patients. And so I do not see myself breaking society’s rules of humility by frankly stating who I am and what offices I hold. I have earned the right to these offices and titles by being faithful and trustworthy not only in this life, but throughout many of my lifestreams. You can glean if you wish from my website, that the person you are prosecuting also is the **SAPPHIRE TO THE PYRAMID OF MAN’S EVOLUTION**. At least you now understand how I interpret humility.
4. **“did communicate statements...”** There is no need for you to prove that I operated a website.
5. **“other than in private conversation...”** The purpose of me having been on internet was primarily for giving instruction and guidance to national and world leaders in their efforts to secure a better life for their people than what global capitalist Zionism gives them today. My effort in reaching the masses with information pertaining to the re-capture of their paradise was a secondary objective of primary importance. I am under no illusion to believe that the purpose for my website information can be accomplished by merely achieving massive public awareness without global leadership support. Of course I did communicate “other than in private conversation.”
7. **That the Jewish people are an “identifiable group”.** Indeed, and so are the Ecuadorian, Heida Indian, Russian, German and French people “identifiable groups.”

Concerning the “Elements of the Offence of Willfully Promoting Hatred” (sic) under 319(2) I do *not* admit to the issues under items...

6. That the statements promoted hatred against an identifiable group. You must understand that my view on the Jewish people is balanced. I chastise them when they deserve it, but I am also shielding them from the notion prevailing in some minds which says that killing them is the only way to get rid of problems the more powerful of their kind are alleged to be creating. Hence I am also their protector. I have stated in my articles and in a court hearing that “I am the guardian of the Jewish people!” and that trust, being natural to the High Office as that of the “Lion of the Tribe of Judah,” does not conflict with criticism dished out concerning their misdeeds. Criticism cannot be called “promoting hate.” If that were to be the case then all criticism toward anyone or any group is always “promotion of hate,” and no civilized society accepts that notion. My statements promote no more “hate” than the statements of all reporters and commentators reporting misconduct of any person or group – including that of the Liberals in Ottawa and Quebec being targets of “hate propaganda” by Canadian law standards as the media reports of them the stealing of public money. The Liberals here being the “identifiable group” with the media spreading the “hate” reporting on Liberal misdeeds.

8. That you intended to promote hate. Definitely ludicrous. The purpose of my writings is to dispense and promote information. This effort has nothing to do with hate. Furthermore the “willful blindness” allegation is plainly stupid. I trust this idea is not yours but belongs to court cases of earlier times. Only a person who is enlightened and understands the principles of universal law can write and speak of issues of life the way I do. No, I do not accept the perception that I am a peddler of hate. Hate is an emotion – completely personal – and belongs to sinners and saints alike, cannot be controlled by law, and should never be attempted to be controlled by law lest the administration of justice becomes compromised.

Witnesses

It will not be necessary to call for the witnesses from Telus or of Det. Palamattam. There is no company which hosted my website, for I did the web hosting myself by using our home computer as server. That only leaves Constable Huggins and perhaps the officer with him as your witnesses testifying against me.

Concerning the witnesses I plan to call for - I may disclose their names during the pre-trial conference in the Court of Queen’s Bench on May 24 this year and learn of the procedure on how to do it.

Trial Matters

My dispute at the trial will not be with issues of identity, location or information gleaned from my website as your evidence against me. It will be around the elastic perception of hate and whether truthful, erroneous, or historical information can legally be banned by calling it “hate promotion” if it is being presented as damaging to the reputation of identifiable groups. It will deal with the pettiness of equating my intellectual writings with hate propaganda alleged to fall under a law dealing with the stupidity of hate control. **Canada needs clarification on whether her people have free speech under Charter Right 2(b) or not.** As a people we still seem to have a situation where constitutional law takes the back seat to dumb laws enacted by Parliament. **And this time around once again we find that “bad laws never get changed” nor revoked for these types of laws represent part of the great conspiracy against the higher interests of the Canadian people – the higher interests here simply being how to re-capture the fundamentals of a long lost paradise from out of a world full of financial greed, causing strife, poverty and stress.**

I have given you a marked-up and signed copy of the “Agreed Statement of Facts.”

The Issue of Early Case Resolution

A “guilty plea” is to me as ludicrous as the idea that suggests I “willfully promote hate” or I decided to be “willfully blind.” I also do not feel like a criminal for having frankly spoken about philosophies and activities any healthy government would immediately identify as high treason. Canada lost control of its destiny in bliss because the elements of national conspiracy occupy many offices of power in Ottawa, and those throughout the Provinces.

Mr. Bilodeau, there is no guilt whereon to mitigate from. A “timely resolution” of this matter would be for you to drop all charges against me and return our computer equipment. This case is borderline at best for a successful prosecution. Your references to “psychiatric assessment” of my mind are insulting, and so are remarks of a “curfew” and “counseling.” I am the Grande World Counselor and counsel the nations’ leaders. I don’t need minions from a court system tell me I need counseling to rid my mind of ideas they deem offensive to their system of debauchery! I am no Keegstra nor am I a follower of Zundel. Your system may have convicted these men contrary to even the letter of the law as it stands and gotten away with it, but now you are about to make the mistake of your lives, for as you fight me and all I stand for, you battle the will and minds of all Starship Commanders of the Federation of Free Planets who intend to liberate the people from your financial overlords.

You also speak of “remorse” and “recognition of wrongdoing.” That will be your part when the judgments on Edmonton begin Uthrania has spoken about years ago. No, I am not interested in a “guilty” plea.

Conclusion

I do not look for martyrdom. Frankly I do not believe in the principles of martyrdom. Simply speaking, don't make me martyr against my will. However should you and your judges attempt to do exactly that against my serious advice, then far be it from me to at some time in the future plead for your release out of your own self-made prison of miserable existence.

Respectfully,

.....
Reni Sentana-Ries

cc: Various media outlets